

# Reproducing Kernels of Generalized Sobolev Spaces via a Green Function Approach with Distributional Operators

Gregory E. Fasshauer · Qi Ye

**Abstract** In this paper we introduce a generalized Sobolev space by defining a semi-inner product formulated in terms of a vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P}$  consisting of finitely or countably many distributional operators  $P_n$ , which are defined on the dual space of the Schwartz space. The types of operators we consider include not only differential operators, but also more general distributional operators such as pseudo-differential operators. We deduce that a certain appropriate full-space Green function  $G$  with respect to  $L := \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{P}$  now becomes a conditionally positive definite function. In order to support this claim we ensure that the distributional adjoint operator  $\mathbf{P}^*$  of  $\mathbf{P}$  is well-defined in the distributional sense. Under sufficient conditions, the native space (reproducing-kernel Hilbert space) associated with the Green function  $G$  can be isometrically embedded into or even be isometrically equivalent to a generalized Sobolev space. As an application, we take linear combinations of translates of the Green function with possibly added polynomial terms and construct a multivariate minimum-norm interpolant  $s_{f,X}$  to data values sampled from an unknown generalized Sobolev function  $f$  at data sites located in some set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . We provide several examples, such as Matérn kernels or Gaussian kernels, that illustrate how many reproducing-kernel Hilbert spaces of well-known reproducing kernels are isometrically equivalent to a generalized Sobolev space. These examples further illustrate how we can rescale the Sobolev spaces by the vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P}$ . Introducing the notion of scale as part of the definition of a generalized Sobolev space may help us to choose the “best” kernel function for kernel-based approximation methods.

**Keywords** kernel approximation · reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces · generalized Sobolev spaces · Green functions · conditionally positive definite functions

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):** Primary 41A30, 65D05; Secondary 34B27, 41A63, 46E22, 46E35

---

Gregory E. Fasshauer  
Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago IL 60616  
E-mail: fasshauer@iit.edu

Qi Ye  
Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago IL 60616  
Tel.: +1-312-567-5867  
Fax: +1-312-567-3135  
E-mail: qye3@iit.edu

## 1 Introduction

A large and increasing number of recent books and research papers apply radial basis functions or other kernel-based approximation methods to such fields as scattered data approximation, statistical or machine learning and the numerical solution of partial differential equations, e.g., [2,3,4,5,7,10,14,15,20,21]. Generally speaking, the fundamental underlying practical problem common to many of these applications can be represented in the following way. Given a set of data sites  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and associated values  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}$  sampled from an unknown function  $f$ , we use translates of a kernel function  $\Phi$  and possible polynomial terms to set up an interpolant  $s_{f,X}$  to approximate the function  $f$ . When  $f$  belongs to the related native space of  $\Phi$ , we can obtain error bounds and optimality properties of this interpolation method. If  $\Phi$  is only conditionally positive definite (instead of the more straightforward positive definite case), then it is known that the native space can also become a reproducing-kernel Hilbert space with a reproducing kernel computed from  $\Phi$  along with additional polynomial terms (see Section 3 and [21]). Nevertheless, there still remain a couple of difficult and challenging questions to be answered for kernel methods: *What kind of functions belong to the related native space of a given kernel function, and which kernel function is the best for us to utilize for a particular application?* In particular, a better understanding of the native space in relation to traditional smoothness spaces (such as Sobolev spaces) is highly desirable. The latter question is partially addressed by the use of techniques such as cross-validation and maximum likelihood estimation to obtain optimally scaled kernels for any particular application (see e.g., [19,20]). However, at the function space level, the question of scale is still in need of a satisfactory answer. As we will illustrate shortly, the definition of our generalized Sobolev spaces will include a notion of scale in a rather natural way.

We will deal with these questions in a different way than the authors of the survey paper [14] did. In this paper, we want to show that the kernel functions and native spaces (reproducing kernels and reproducing-kernel Hilbert spaces) can be computed via Green functions and generalized Sobolev spaces induced by some vector distributional operators  $\mathbf{P} := (P_1, \dots, P_n, \dots)^T$  consisting of finitely or countably many distributional operators  $P_n$  (see Definition 4.1). We can further check that differential operators are special cases of these distributional operators.

Some well known examples covered by our theory include the Duchon spaces and Beppo-Levi spaces associated with polyharmonic splines (see Examples 5.1 and 5.6). Moreover, in [13] the author expressed a desire to choose the “best” scale parameter of a given kernel function for a particular interpolation problem by looking at scaled versions of the classical Sobolev space via different scale parameters. Examples 2.1, 5.3 and 5.7 tell us that we can balance the role of different derivatives by selecting appropriate scale parameters when reconstructing the classical Sobolev spaces by starting with appropriately chosen inner products of for our generalized Sobolev spaces. Finally, Example 5.8 shows that the native space of the ubiquitous Gaussian function (the reproducing-kernel Hilbert space of the Gaussian kernel) is isometrically equivalent to a generalized Sobolev space, which can be applied to support vector machines and in the study of motion coherence (see e.g., [17, 23]).

In this article, we use the notation  $\text{Re}(\mathcal{E})$  to be the collection of all real-valued functions of the function space  $\mathcal{E}$ . For example,  $\text{Re}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  denotes the collection of all real-valued continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .  $\mathcal{SI}$  is defined as the collection of *slowly increasing functions*

which grow at most like any particular fixed polynomial, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{SI} := \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f(\mathbf{x}) = O(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^m) \text{ as } \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

(The notation  $f = O(g)$  means that there is a positive number  $M$  such that  $|f| \leq M|g|$ .) Roughly speaking, our generalized Sobolev space is a generalization of the classical real-valued  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -based Sobolev space. The real classical Sobolev space is usually given by

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in \text{Re}(L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI} : D^\alpha f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq n, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\}$$

with inner product

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^\alpha f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{D^\alpha g(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

Our concept of a real *generalized Sobolev space* (to be defined in detail in Definition 4.4 below) will be of a very similar form, namely

$$\mathbf{H_P}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in \text{Re}(L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI} : \{P_j f\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|P_j f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 < \infty\}$$

with the semi-inner product

$$(f, g)_{\mathbf{H_P}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_j f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{P_j g(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}, \quad f, g \in \mathbf{H_P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Why do we use different vector distributional operators to set up the generalized Sobolev space? An important feature driving this definition is the fact that this will give us different semi-norms in which to measure the target function  $f$  adding a notion of scale on top of the usual smoothness properties. As we discuss in Example 2.1, a scale parameter will control the semi-norm by affecting the weight of the various derivatives involved. This may guide us in finding the kernel function with “optimal” scale parameter to set up a kernel-based approximation for a given set of data values — an important problem in practice for which no analytical solution exists.

Since the Dirac delta function  $\delta_0$  at the origin is just a tempered distribution belonging to the dual space of the Schwartz space, the Green function  $G$  we introduce in Definition 4.3 needs to be regarded as a tempered distribution as well. Thus we want to define a distributional operator  $L$  on the dual space of the Schwartz space so that  $LG = \delta_0$ . The distributional operator and its distributional adjoint operator are well-defined in Section 4.1. According to Theorem 4.1, we can prove that an even Green function  $G \in \text{Re}(C(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  is a conditionally positive definite function of some order  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Therefore, we can construct the related native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of  $G$  as a complete semi-inner product space. The native space can become a reproducing-kernel Hilbert space and its reproducing kernel is set up by the Green function and possible polynomial terms (see Section 3 and [21]). Moreover, the distributional operator  $L$  can be computed by a vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P} := (P_1, \dots, P_n)^T$  and its distributional adjoint  $\mathbf{P}^*$ , i.e.,  $L = \mathbf{P}^{*T} \mathbf{P} = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j^* P_j$ . Under some sufficient conditions, we will further obtain a result in Theorem 4.2 that shows that the native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is always a subspace of the generalized Sobolev space  $\mathbf{H_P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and that their semi-inner products are the same on  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This implies that the usual native spaces can be isometrically embedded into our generalized Sobolev spaces. By Lemma 4.5, we know that  $\mathbf{H_P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is also a subspace of  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Theorems 4.4 and 4.6 tell us that

$\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  may even be isometrically equivalent to  $\mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . However, we provide Example 5.5 to show that  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is not always equivalent to  $\mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is sometimes just a proper subspace of  $\mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We complete the proofs needed for the theoretical framework in this article by applying generalized Fourier transform (see Definition 3.2 and [21]) and distributional Fourier transform (see Definition 4.2 and [18]) techniques.

## 2 Background and Motivation

Given data sites  $X = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  (which we also identify with the centers of our kernel functions below) and sampled values  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  of a real-valued continuous function  $f$  on  $X$ , we wish to approximate this function  $f$  by a linear combination of translates of a reproducing kernel  $K$ .

To this end we set up the interpolant in the form

$$s_{f,X}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=1}^N c_j K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_j), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.1)$$

and require it to satisfy the additional interpolation conditions

$$s_{f,X}(\mathbf{x}_j) = y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.2)$$

If  $K$  is a *positive definite* [21, Definition 6.24] reproducing kernel then the above system (2.2) is equivalent to a uniquely solvable linear system

$$\mathbf{A}_{K,X} \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{Y},$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_{K,X} := \left( K(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k) \right)_{j,k=1}^{N,N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ ,  $\mathbf{c} := (c_1, \dots, c_N)^T$  and  $\mathbf{Y} := (y_1, \dots, y_N)^T$ . Here a Hilbert space  $\mathbf{H}_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *reproducing-kernel Hilbert space* [21, Definition 10.1] with a *reproducing kernel*  $K : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if

(1)  $K(\cdot, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{H}_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and (2)  $f(\mathbf{y}) = (K(\cdot, \mathbf{y}), f)_{\mathbf{H}_K(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ , for all  $f \in \mathbf{H}_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

It is well-known that the interpolant  $s_{f,X}$  is the best approximation of an unknown function  $f \in \mathbf{H}_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$  fitting the sample values  $Y$  on the data sites  $X$ .

*Example 2.1* We consider two reproducing kernels for differently scaled versions of the classical Sobolev space  $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R})$ : the kernel

$$K^s(x, y) := \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|x - y|\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}|x - y| + \frac{\pi}{6}\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the Sobolev spline (Matérn) kernel

$$K(x, y) := \frac{1}{8\sigma^3} (1 + \sigma|x - y|) \exp(-\sigma|x - y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

with scale parameter  $\sigma > 0$ . It is not difficult to show that these functions are Green functions of the differential operators  $L^s := I - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{d^4}{dx^4}$  and  $L := (\sigma^2 I - \frac{d^2}{dx^2})^2$ , respectively. As a result the inner products for their real reproducing-kernel Hilbert spaces are

$$(f, g)_{\mathbf{H}_{K^s}(\mathbb{R})} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( f''(x) \overline{g''(x)} + f'(x) \overline{g'(x)} + f(x) \overline{g(x)} \right) dx, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

and

$$(f, g)_{H_K(\mathbb{R})} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( f''(x) \overline{g''(x)} + 2\sigma^2 f'(x) \overline{g'(x)} + \sigma^4 f(x) \overline{g(x)} \right) dx, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

We can also use the theoretical results of Section 4.3 to show that  $H_{K^\sigma}(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}) \cong H_K(\mathbb{R})$ . This means that  $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $H_K(\mathbb{R})$  are isomorphic and indicates that these reproducing-kernel Hilbert spaces are isometrically equivalent to generalized Sobolev spaces. More details are given in Example 5.3.

This example shows that it may make sense to redefine the classical Sobolev space employing different inner products in terms of scale parameters even though  $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $H_K(\mathbb{R})$  are composed of functions with the same smoothness properties and are not distinguished under standard Hilbert space theory (i.e., considered isomorphic). These different inner products provide us with a clearer understanding of the important role of the scale parameter. This formulation allows us to think of  $\sigma^{-1}$  as the natural length scale dependent on the weight of various derivatives. The choice of smoothness and scale now tell us which kernel to use for a particular application. This choice may be performed by the user based on some a priori knowledge of the problem and based directly on the data.

In the following section we briefly review how to use a conditionally positive definite function to construct reproducing kernels.

### 3 Conditionally Positive Definite Functions and Native Spaces

Most of the material presented in this section can be found in the excellent monograph [21]. For the reader's convenience we repeat here what is essential to our discussion later on.

#### 3.1 Conditionally Positive Definite Functions

**Definition 3.1 ([21, Definition 8.1])** A continuous even function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a *conditionally positive definite function of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$*  if, for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , all pairwise distinct centers  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and all  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_N)^T \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^N c_j p(\mathbf{x}_j) = 0$$

for all  $p \in \pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the quadratic form

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N c_j c_k \Phi(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k) > 0.$$

In the case  $m = 0$  with  $\pi_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{0\}$  the function  $\Phi$  is called *positive definite*.

In general, we can not hope for a continuous  $\Phi$  to be  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -integrable so that it has a  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform. However,  $\Phi$  always has a generalized Fourier transform.

Next, we want to have a criterion to decide whether  $\Phi$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . In Wendland's book [21], the generalized Fourier transform of

order  $m$  is employed to determine the conditional positive definiteness of  $\Phi$ . Let a special test function space  $S_{2m}$  [21, Definition 8.8] be defined as

$$S_{2m} := \left\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{S} : \gamma(\mathbf{x}) = O(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{2m}) \text{ as } \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \right\},$$

where the *Schwartz space*  $\mathcal{S}$  [21, Definition 5.17] consists of all functions  $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  that satisfy

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{x}^\beta D^\alpha \gamma(\mathbf{x})| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  with a constant  $C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ .

**Definition 3.2 ([21, Definition 8.9])** Suppose that  $\Phi \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{SI}$ . A measurable function  $\hat{\phi} \in L_2^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  is called a *generalized Fourier transform* of  $\Phi$  if there exists an integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \gamma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in S_{2m}.$$

The integer  $m$  is called the *order* of  $\hat{\phi}$ .

**Remark 3.1** If  $\Phi$  has a generalized Fourier transform of order  $m$ , then it has also order  $l \geq m$ . If  $\Phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then its  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform is a generalized Fourier transform of any order.

**Theorem 3.1 ([21, Theorem 8.12])** Suppose an even function  $\Phi \in \text{Re}(C(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  possesses a generalized Fourier transform  $\hat{\phi}$  of order  $m$  which is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\Phi$  is conditionally positive definite of order  $m$  if and only if  $\hat{\phi}$  is nonnegative and nonvanishing.

### 3.2 Native Space and Reproducing-Kernel Hilbert Space

If  $\Phi$  is conditionally positive definite of order  $m$  then [21, Chapter 10.3] shows that  $\Phi$  can be used to create a reproducing kernel and its reproducing-kernel Hilbert space. We firstly set up a *native space*  $\mathcal{N}_\Phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  [21, Definition 10.16]. It is a complete semi-inner product space and its null space of  $\mathcal{N}_\Phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is given by  $\pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e.,  $|p|_{\mathcal{N}_\Phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0$  if and only if  $p \in \pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\Phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . According to [21, Theorem 10.20],  $\mathcal{N}_\Phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  will become a reproducing-kernel Hilbert space  $H_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with the new inner product

$$(f, g)_{H_K(\mathbb{R}^d)} := (f, g)_{\mathcal{N}_\Phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \sum_{k=1}^Q f(\xi_k) g(\xi_k), \quad f, g \in H_K(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{N}_\Phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and its reproducing kernel is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := & \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - \sum_{k=1}^Q q_k(\mathbf{x}) \Phi(\xi_k - \mathbf{y}) - \sum_{l=1}^Q q_l(\mathbf{y}) \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \xi_l) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^Q \sum_{l=1}^Q q_k(\mathbf{x}) q_l(\mathbf{y}) \Phi(\xi_k - \xi_l) + \sum_{k=1}^Q q_k(\mathbf{x}) q_k(\mathbf{y}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{q_1, \dots, q_Q\}$  is a Lagrange basis of  $\pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with respect to a  $\pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -unisolvant set  $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_Q\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $Q = \dim \pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover the reproducing kernel  $K$  is positive

definite by [21, Theorem 12.9]. We can also check that the interpolation (2.1)-(2.2) by  $K$  is equivalent to the interpolation by a linear combination of translates of the conditionally positive definite function  $G$  of order  $m$  along with a basis of polynomials  $\pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (see [21, Chapter 8.5 and Chapter 12.3]), i.e.,

$$s_{f,X}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j) + \sum_{k=1}^Q \beta_k q_k(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

**Theorem 3.2 ([21, Theorem 10.21])** *Suppose that  $\Phi$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Further suppose that  $\Phi$  has a generalized Fourier transform  $\hat{\phi}$  of order  $m$  which is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . Then its native space is characterized by*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}^m(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ f \in \text{Re}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI} : f \text{ has a generalized Fourier transform } \hat{f} \text{ of order } m/2 \text{ such that } \hat{\phi}^{-1/2} \hat{f} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\},$$

and its semi-inner product satisfies

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\hat{g}(\mathbf{x})}}{\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{N}_{\Phi}^m(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

#### 4 Green Functions and Generalized Sobolev Space Connected to Conditionally Positive Definite Functions and Native Space

##### 4.1 Distributional Operators and Distributional Adjoint Operators

First, we can define a metric  $\rho$  on the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$  so that it becomes a Fréchet space. Together with its metric  $\rho$  the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$  is regarded as the classical test function space.

Let  $\mathcal{S}'$  be the space of tempered distributions associated with  $\mathcal{S}$  (the dual space of  $\mathcal{S}$ , or space of continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{S}$ ). We introduce the notation

$$\langle T, \gamma \rangle := T(\gamma), \quad \text{for each } T \in \mathcal{S}' \text{ and } \gamma \in \mathcal{S}.$$

For each  $f \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  there exists a unique tempered distribution  $T_f \in \mathcal{S}'$  such that

$$\langle T_f, \gamma \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{x}) \gamma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \mathcal{S}.$$

So  $f \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  can be viewed as an element of  $\mathcal{S}'$  and we rewrite  $T_f := f$ . This means that  $L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  can be isometrically embedded into  $\mathcal{S}'$ , i.e.,  $L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{SI} \subseteq \mathcal{S}'$ . The Dirac delta function (Dirac distribution)  $\delta_0$  concentrated at the origin is also an element of  $\mathcal{S}'$ , i.e.,  $\langle \delta_0, \gamma \rangle = \gamma(0)$  for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ . Much more detail of the distributions are discussed in [9, Chapter 7.1] and [18, Chapter 1.3].

Given a linear operator  $P : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ , is it always possible to define a linear (adjoint) operator  $P^* : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$  which also satisfies the usual adjoint properties? The answer to this question is that it may not be possible for all  $P$ . However, adjoint operators are well-defined for certain special linear operators. We will refer to these special linear operators as *distributional operators* and to their adjoint operators as *distributional adjoint operators* in this article.

We first introduce these linear operators on  $S'$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}^* : S \rightarrow S$  be a continuous linear operator. Then a linear operator  $P : S' \rightarrow S'$  induced by  $\mathcal{P}^*$  can be denoted via the form

$$\langle PT, \gamma \rangle := \langle T, \mathcal{P}^* \gamma \rangle, \quad \text{for each } T \in S' \text{ and } \gamma \in S, \quad \text{i.e., } P(T) := T \circ \mathcal{P}^*.$$

Furthermore, if  $P|_S$  is a continuous operator from  $S$  into  $S$ , i.e.,  $\{P\gamma : \gamma \in S\} \subseteq S$  and  $\rho(P\gamma_n, P\gamma) \rightarrow 0$  when  $\rho(\gamma_n, \gamma) \rightarrow 0$ , then we call the linear operator  $P$  a *distributional operator*.

Next we will show that the adjoint operators of these distributional operators are well-defined in the following way. In the same manner as before, we can denote another linear operator  $P^* : S' \rightarrow S'$  induced by  $P|_S$ , i.e.,

$$\langle P^* T, \gamma \rangle := \langle T, P|_S \gamma \rangle = \langle T, P\gamma \rangle, \quad \text{for each } T \in S' \text{ and } \gamma \in S.$$

Fixing any  $\tilde{\gamma} \in S$ , we have

$$\langle P^* \tilde{\gamma}, \gamma \rangle = \langle \tilde{\gamma}, P\gamma \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) P\gamma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \langle P\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \gamma, \mathcal{P}^* \tilde{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}^* \tilde{\gamma}, \gamma \rangle,$$

for each  $\gamma \in S$  which implies that  $P^* \tilde{\gamma} = \mathcal{P}^* \tilde{\gamma}$ . Hence  $P^*|_S = \mathcal{P}^*$  on  $S$  and  $P^*|_S$  is a continuous operator from  $S$  into  $S$ . Therefore  $P^*$  is also a distributional operator. This motivates us to call  $P^*$  the *distributional adjoint operator* of  $P$ . According to the above definition,  $P$  is also the distributional adjoint operator of the distributional operator  $P^*$ .

*Remark 4.1* In the standard literature [9, Chapter 8.3]  $P^*|_S$  corresponds to the classical adjoint operator of  $P$ . Here we can think of the classical adjoint operator  $P^*|_S$  being extended to the distributional adjoint operator  $P^*$ . Our distributional adjoint operator differs from the adjoint operator of a bounded linear operator defined in Hilbert space or Banach space. Our operator is defined in the dual space of the Schwartz space and it may not be a bounded operator if  $S'$  is defined as a metric space. But it is continuous when  $S'$  is given the weak-star topology as the dual of  $S$ . However, since the fundamental idea of our construction is similar to the classical ones we also call this an adjoint.

We now summarize the definitions of the distributional operator and its adjoint operator.

**Definition 4.1** Let  $P, P^* : S' \rightarrow S'$  be two linear operators. If  $P|_S$  and  $P^*|_S$  are continuous operators from  $S$  into  $S$  such that

$$\langle PT, \gamma \rangle = \langle T, P^* \gamma \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle P^* T, \gamma \rangle = \langle T, P\gamma \rangle, \quad \text{for each } T \in S' \text{ and } \gamma \in S,$$

then  $P$  and  $P^*$  are said to be *distributional operators* and, moreover,  $P^*$  (or  $P$ ) is called a *distributional adjoint operator* of  $P$  (or  $P^*$ ).

We will simplify the term distributional adjoint operator to adjoint operator in this article.

If  $P = P^*$ , then we call  $P$  *self-adjoint*. A distributional operator  $P$  is called *translation invariant* if

$$\tau_h P\gamma = P\tau_h \gamma, \quad \text{for each } h \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \gamma \in S,$$

where  $\tau_h$  is defined by  $\tau_h \gamma(\mathbf{x}) := \gamma(\mathbf{x} - h)$ . A distributional operator is called *complex-adjoint invariant* if

$$\overline{P\gamma} = P\overline{\gamma}, \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in S.$$



Now we introduce two typical examples of distributional operators. One is the *differential operator* (with constant coefficients) which is a linear combination of the *distributional derivatives*  $P := D^\alpha : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ . The distributional derivative is extended by the (strong) derivative

$$D^\alpha := \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\partial^{\alpha_k}}{\partial x_k^{\alpha_k}}, \quad |\alpha| := \sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_k, \quad \alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)^T \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,$$

for the formula

$$\langle D^\alpha T, \gamma \rangle := (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle T, D^\alpha \gamma \rangle, \quad \text{for each } T \in \mathcal{S}' \text{ and } \gamma \in \mathcal{S},$$

(see [1, Chapter 1.5]). It is easy to check that the distributional derivative is a distributional operator. So we can determine that the differential operator is a distributional operator, i.e.,

$$P := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} c_\alpha D^\alpha, \quad P^* := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} (-1)^{|\alpha|} c_\alpha D^\alpha, \quad \text{where } c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The other kind of distributional operator is defined for any fixed function

$$\hat{p} \in \mathcal{FT} := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) : D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{SI} \text{ for each } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\}.$$

It is obvious that all complex-valued polynomials belong to  $\mathcal{FT}$ . Since  $\hat{p}\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$  for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ , we can verify that the linear operator  $\gamma \mapsto \hat{p}\gamma$  is a continuous operator from  $\mathcal{S}$  into  $\mathcal{S}$ . Thus this distributional operator  $P$  related to  $\hat{p}$  is denoted as

$$\langle PT, \gamma \rangle := \langle T, \hat{p}\gamma \rangle, \quad \text{for each } T \in \mathcal{S}' \text{ and } \gamma \in \mathcal{S}.$$

We can further check that this operator is self-adjoint and  $Pg = \hat{p}g \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  if  $g \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{SI}$ . Therefore we use the notation  $P := \hat{p}$  for convenience. The  $\mathcal{FT}$  space is also applied in the definition of distributional Fourier transforms of distributional operators in Section 4.2.

#### 4.2 Distributional Fourier Transforms

We denote  $\hat{\gamma} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\check{\gamma} \in \mathcal{S}$  to be the  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform and inverse  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform (unitary and using angular frequency) of the test function  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$  as in [21, Definition 5.15].

Following the theoretical results of [9, Chapter 7.1] and [18, Chapter 1.3] we can define the *distributional Fourier transform*  $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{S}'$  of the tempered distribution  $T \in \mathcal{S}'$  by

$$\langle \hat{T}, \gamma \rangle := \langle T, \hat{\gamma} \rangle, \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \mathcal{S}.$$

The fact  $\langle T, \bar{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \hat{T}, \bar{\hat{\gamma}} \rangle$  implies that the  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform of  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$  is the same as its distributional transform. If  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then its  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform is equal to its distributional Fourier transform. The distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{\delta}_0$  of the Dirac delta function  $\delta_0$  is equal to  $(2\pi)^{-d/2}$ . Moreover, we can check that the distributional Fourier transform map is an isomorphism of the topological vector space  $\mathcal{S}'$  onto itself. This shows that the distributional Fourier transform map is also a distributional operator.

If  $\Phi \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  has the generalized Fourier transform  $\hat{\Phi}$  of order  $m$ , then its generalized Fourier transform and its distributional Fourier transform coincide on the set  $\mathcal{S}_{2m}$ , i.e.,

$$\langle \hat{\Phi}, \gamma \rangle = \langle \Phi, \hat{\gamma} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x) \hat{\gamma}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\Phi}(x) \gamma(x) dx, \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{2m}.$$

Even if  $\Phi$  does not have any generalized Fourier transform, it always has a distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{\Phi}$  since  $\Phi$  can be seen as a tempered distribution.

Our main goal in this subsection is to define the distributional Fourier transform of a distributional operator induced by the  $\mathcal{FT}$  space introduced in Section 4.1.

**Definition 4.2** Let  $P$  be a distributional operator. If there is a function  $\hat{p} \in \mathcal{FT}$  such that

$$\langle \widehat{PT}, \gamma \rangle = \langle \hat{p}\hat{T}, \gamma \rangle = \langle \hat{T}, \hat{p}\gamma \rangle, \quad \text{for each } T \in \mathcal{S}' \text{ and } \gamma \in \mathcal{S},$$

then  $\hat{p}$  is said to be a *distributional Fourier transform* of  $P$ .

If  $P$  has the distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{p}$ , then  $P$  is translation-invariant because  $\widehat{\tau_h P \gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-i\mathbf{x}^T h} \hat{p}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{P \tau_h \gamma}(\mathbf{x})$  for each  $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, if  $P$  is complex-adjoint invariant and has the distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{p}$ , then

$$\langle \widehat{\hat{p}\hat{T}}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \hat{T}, \widehat{\hat{p}\bar{\gamma}} \rangle = \langle \hat{T}, \overline{\widehat{P\bar{\gamma}}} \rangle = \langle T, \overline{P\bar{\gamma}} \rangle = \langle T, P\bar{\gamma} \rangle = \langle P^* T, \bar{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \widehat{P^* T}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle$$

for each  $T \in \mathcal{S}'$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ . This shows that  $\bar{\hat{p}}$  is the distributional Fourier transform of the adjoint operator  $P^*$  of  $P$ .

Because of  $D^\alpha \hat{\gamma} = (\widehat{\bar{p}\gamma})^\wedge$  for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ , we can show that any distributional derivative  $D^\alpha$  has the distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{p}(\mathbf{x}) := (i\mathbf{x})^\alpha$  where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . This also implies that the distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{p}^*$  of its adjoint operator  $(-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha$  is equal to  $\hat{p}^*(\mathbf{x}) = (-i\mathbf{x})^\alpha = \overline{\hat{p}(\mathbf{x})}$ . Furthermore, we can also obtain the distributional Fourier transform of a differential operator in the same way, e.g.,

$$\hat{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} c_\alpha (i\mathbf{x})^\alpha, \quad \text{where } P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} c_\alpha D^\alpha, \quad c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

#### 4.3 Green Functions and Generalized Sobolev Space

**Definition 4.3**  $G$  is the (full-space) *Green function with respect to the distributional operator*  $L$  if  $G \in \mathcal{S}'$  satisfies the equation

$$LG = \delta_0. \quad (4.1)$$

Equation (4.1) is to be interpreted in the sense of distributions which means that  $\langle G, L^* \gamma \rangle = \langle LG, \gamma \rangle = \langle \delta_0, \gamma \rangle = \gamma(0)$  for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ .

According to Theorem 3.1 and [12] we can obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $L$  be a distributional operator with distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{L}$ . Suppose that  $\hat{L}$  is positive on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . Further suppose that  $\hat{L}^{-1} \in \mathcal{SI}$  and that  $\hat{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \Theta(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{2m})$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . If the Green function  $G \in \text{Re}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  with respect to  $L$  is an even function, then  $G$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order  $m$  and

$$\hat{G}_m(\mathbf{x}) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \hat{L}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is the generalized Fourier transform of order  $m$  of  $G$ . (Here the notation  $f = \Theta(g)$  means that there are two positive numbers  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  such that  $M_1 |g| \leq |f| \leq M_2 |g|$ .)

*Proof* First we want to prove that  $\hat{g}_m$  is the generalized Fourier transform of order  $m$  of  $G$ . Since  $\hat{l}^{-1} \in \mathcal{SI}$  and  $\hat{l}(\mathbf{x}) = \Theta(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{2m})$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the product  $\hat{g}_m \gamma$  is integrable for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{2m}$ . Let  $\widehat{G}$  be the distributional Fourier transform of  $G$ . If we can verify that

$$\langle \widehat{G}, \gamma \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{g}_m(\mathbf{x}) \gamma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{2m},$$

then we are able to conclude that  $\hat{g}_m$  is the generalized Fourier transform of  $G$ .

Since  $\hat{l}$  is the distributional Fourier transform of the distributional operator  $L$  we know that  $\hat{l} \in \mathcal{FT}$ . Thus  $D^\alpha(\hat{l}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{SI}$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  because of  $D^\alpha \hat{l} \in \mathcal{SI}$  and  $\hat{l}^{-1} \in \mathcal{SI}$ . If  $\hat{l}(0) > 0$ , then  $\hat{l}^{-1} \in \mathcal{FT}$ , which implies that  $\hat{l}^{-1} \gamma \in \mathcal{S}$  for each fixed  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{2m}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{G}, \gamma \rangle &= \langle \widehat{lG}, \hat{l}^{-1} \gamma \rangle = \langle \widehat{LG}, \hat{l}^{-1} \gamma \rangle = \langle \hat{\delta}_0, \hat{l}^{-1} \gamma \rangle = \langle (2\pi)^{-d/2}, \hat{l}^{-1} \gamma \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2\pi)^{-d/2} \hat{l}(\mathbf{x})^{-1} \gamma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{g}_m(\mathbf{x}) \gamma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\hat{l}(0) = 0$ , then  $\hat{l}^{-1}$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{FT}$ . However, since  $\hat{l} \in \mathcal{FT}$  is positive on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  we can find a positive-valued sequence  $\{\hat{l}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\hat{l}_n(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \hat{l}(\mathbf{x}), & \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 > n^{-1}, \\ \hat{l}(\mathbf{x}) + n^{-1}, & \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 < n^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

In particular  $l_1 \equiv 1$ . And then  $\{\hat{l}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{FT}$ . It further follows that  $D^\alpha \hat{l}_n$  converges uniformly to  $D^\alpha \hat{l}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ .

We now fix an arbitrary  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{2m}$ . Since  $\hat{l}_n^{-1} \gamma$  and  $\hat{l}^{-1} \gamma$  have absolutely finite integral,  $\hat{l}_n^{-1} \gamma$  converges to  $\hat{l}^{-1} \gamma$  in the integral sense. Let  $\gamma_n := \hat{l}_n^{-1} \gamma$ . We can also check that  $(\hat{l}_n \gamma_n)^\wedge$  converges to  $\hat{\gamma}$  point wisely which indicates that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(\mathbf{x}) (\hat{l}_n \gamma_n)(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$  converges to  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma, \hat{G} \rangle_S &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \hat{l}_n \gamma_n, \hat{G} \rangle_S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma_n, \widehat{LG} \rangle_S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma_n, \hat{\delta}_0 \rangle_S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma_n, (2\pi)^{-d/2} \rangle_S \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2\pi)^{-d/2} \hat{l}_n(\mathbf{x})^{-1} \gamma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2\pi)^{-d/2} \hat{l}(\mathbf{x})^{-1} \gamma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{G}_m(\mathbf{x}) \gamma(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\hat{g}_m \in C(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  is positive on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  and  $G \in \text{Re}(C(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  is an even function, we can use Theorem 3.1 to conclude that  $G$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order  $m$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2** If  $L$  is a differential operator, then its distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{l}$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.1 if and only if  $\hat{l}$  has a polynomial of the form  $\hat{l}(\mathbf{x}) := q(\mathbf{x}) + a_{2m} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{2m}$ , where  $a_{2m} > 0$  and  $q$  is a polynomial of degree greater than  $2m$  so that it is positive on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ , or  $q \equiv 0$ .

Now we can define the generalized Sobolev space induced by a vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_n, \dots)^T$  similar as in [22, Definition 6].

**Definition 4.4** Consider the vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_n, \dots)^T$  consisting of countably many distributional operators  $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . The real *generalized Sobolev space* induced by  $\mathbf{P}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in \text{Re}(L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI} : \{P_j f\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|P_j f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and it is equipped with the semi-inner product

$$(f, g)_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_j f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{P_j g(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}, \quad f, g \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For example, if we let  $P_j := D^\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  and  $|\alpha| \leq n$  and the others be zero operators, then the classical  $L_2$ -based Sobolev space  $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a special case of the generalized Sobolev space. If we choose the vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P}$  as in Example 5.3 then  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are isomorphic to each other which indicates that we redefine the Sobolev space for different inner products using the scale parameter  $\sigma > 0$ . Generalized Sobolev spaces can also become different kinds of Beppo-Levi spaces with corresponding semi-inner products (see Example 5.6). The reproducing-kernel Hilbert space of the Gaussian kernel will be isometrically equivalent to a generalized Sobolev space  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as well as explained in Example 5.8.

Now we discuss the relationship between the generalized Sobolev space and the native space. In the following theorems of this section we only consider  $\mathbf{P}$  constructed by a finite number of distributional operators  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  which means that  $P_j := 0$  when  $j > n$ . If  $\mathbf{P} := (P_1, \dots, P_n)^T$ , then the distributional operator

$$L := \mathbf{P}^{*T} \mathbf{P} = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j^* P_j$$

is well-defined, where  $\mathbf{P}^* := (P_1^*, \dots, P_n^*)^T$  is the adjoint operator of  $\mathbf{P}$  as defined in Section 4.1. If we suppose that  $\mathbf{P}$  is complex-adjoint invariant with distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = (\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_n)^T$ , then the distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}^* = (\hat{p}_1^*, \dots, \hat{p}_n^*)^T$  of its adjoint operator  $\mathbf{P}^*$  is equal to  $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = (\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_n)^T$ . Since

$$\langle \widehat{P_j^* P_j T}, \gamma \rangle = \langle \widehat{P_j^*} \widehat{P_j T}, \gamma \rangle = \langle \hat{p}_j \hat{T}, \hat{p}_j^* \gamma \rangle = \langle \bar{\hat{p}}_j \hat{p}_j \hat{T}, \gamma \rangle = \langle |\hat{p}_j|^2 \hat{T}, \gamma \rangle$$

for each  $T \in \mathcal{S}'$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ , the distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{L}$  of  $L$  is given by

$$\hat{L}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=1}^n |\hat{p}_j(\mathbf{x})|^2 = \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Moreover, since  $\mathbf{P}$  has a distributional Fourier transform,  $\mathbf{P}$  is translation invariant (see Section 4.2).

We are now ready to state and prove our main theorem about the generalized Sobolev space  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  induced by a vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P} := (P_1, \dots, P_n)^T$ .

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $\mathbf{P} := (P_1, \dots, P_n)^T$  be a complex-adjoint invariant vector distributional operator with vector distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{\mathbf{p}} := (\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_n)^T$  which is nonzero on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . Further suppose that  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^{-1} \in \mathcal{SI}$  and that  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2 = \Theta(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^m)$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . If the Green function  $G \in \text{Re}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  with respect to  $L = \mathbf{P}^{*T} \mathbf{P}$  is chosen so that it is an even function, then  $G$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order  $m$  and its native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a subspace of the generalized Sobolev space  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover, their semi-inner products are the same on  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e.,*

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} = (f, g)_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Proof* By our earlier discussion the distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{l}$  of  $L$  is equal to  $\hat{l}(\mathbf{x}) = \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2$ . Thus  $\hat{l}$  is positive on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\hat{l}^{-1} \in \mathcal{SI}$  and  $\hat{l}(\mathbf{x}) = \Theta(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{2m})$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ . According to Theorem 4.1,  $G$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order  $m$  and its generalized Fourier transform of order  $m$  is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_m(\mathbf{x}) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \hat{l}(\mathbf{x})^{-1} = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^{-2}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

With the material developed thus far we are able construct its native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (see Section 3.2).

Next, we fix any  $f \in \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . According to Theorem 3.2,  $f \in \text{Re}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  possesses a generalized Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  of order  $m/2$  and  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This means that the functions  $\hat{p}_j \hat{f}$  belong to  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence we can define the function  $f_{P_j} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$f_{P_j} := (\hat{p}_j \hat{f})^\vee \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

using the inverse  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform.

Since  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2 = \Theta(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^m)$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  we have  $\hat{p}_j(\mathbf{x}) = O(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^m)$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus  $\hat{p}_j \check{\gamma} \in \mathcal{S}_m$  for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, since  $\hat{p}_j \check{\gamma} = \hat{p}_j \bar{\gamma} = \overline{\hat{p}_j^* \gamma} = \overline{P_j^* \gamma}$  and the generalized and distributional Fourier transforms of  $f$  coincide on  $\mathcal{S}_m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{P_j}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\gamma(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\hat{p}_j \hat{f})^\vee(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\gamma(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\hat{p}_j \hat{f})(\mathbf{x}) \check{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \langle \hat{f}, \hat{p}_j \check{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \overline{\hat{p}_j^* \gamma} \rangle = \langle f, \overline{P_j^* \gamma} \rangle = \langle f, P_j^* \gamma \rangle = \langle P_j f, \gamma \rangle, \quad \gamma \in \mathcal{S}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $P_j f = f_{P_j} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Therefore we know that  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

To establish equality of the semi-inner products we let  $f, g \in \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then the Plancherel theorem [18] yields

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g)_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{P_j}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{g_{P_j}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\hat{p}_j \hat{f})(\mathbf{x}) \overline{(\hat{p}_j \hat{g})(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\hat{g}(\mathbf{x})} \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\hat{g}(\mathbf{x})} \hat{l}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\hat{g}(\mathbf{x})}}{\hat{\mathbf{g}}_m(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} = (f, g)_{\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark 4.3* If each element of  $\mathbf{P}$  is just a differential operator then all their coefficients are real numbers because  $\mathbf{P}$  is complex-adjoint invariant.

The preceding theorem shows that  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  can be isometrically embedded into  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Ideally,  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  would be equal to  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , but this is not true in general. However, if we impose some additional conditions on  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then we can obtain equality.

**Definition 4.5** Let  $\mathbf{P} := (P_1, \dots, P_n)^T$  be a vector distributional operator. We say that the generalized Sobolev space  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  possesses the  $\mathcal{S}$ -dense property if for every  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , every compact subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\|f - \gamma\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \epsilon \text{ and } \|f - \gamma\|_{L_\infty(A)} < \epsilon, \quad (4.2)$$

i.e., there is a sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{S} \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  so that

$$\|f - \gamma_n\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|f - \gamma_n\|_{L_\infty(A)} \rightarrow 0, \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Following the method of the proofs of [21, Theorems 10.41 and 10.43], we can complete the proofs of the following lemma and theorem.

**Lemma 4.3** *Let  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and suppose that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  has the  $\mathcal{S}$ -dense property. Assume we are given the data sites  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and scalars  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . If we define  $f_\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k G(\cdot - \mathbf{x}_k)$ , then for every  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have the representation*

$$(f_\lambda(\mathbf{x} - \cdot), f)_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k). \quad (4.3)$$

*Proof* Let us first assume that  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . According to Theorem 4.2,  $f_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Since  $\mathbf{P}$  is translation invariant and complex-adjoint invariant we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_\lambda(\mathbf{x} - \cdot), \gamma)_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_{j,y} f_\lambda(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \overline{P_j \gamma(\mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_{j,y} f_\lambda(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) P_j \gamma(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle f_\lambda(\mathbf{x} - \cdot), P_j^* P_j \gamma \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) L_y \gamma(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda_k G(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_k) L_y \gamma(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k \langle LG, \gamma(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k - \cdot) \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k \langle \delta_0, \gamma(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k - \cdot) \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k \gamma(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k). \end{aligned}$$

For a general  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we fix  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and choose a compact set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k \in \Lambda$  for  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  which satisfies Equation (4.2). Then two applications of the triangle inequality show that the absolute value of the difference in the two sides of Equation (4.3) can be bounded by  $\epsilon \left( \sum_{k=1}^N |\lambda_k| + \|f_\lambda\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right)$ , which tends to zero as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4** *Let  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2. If  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  possesses the  $\mathcal{S}$ -dense property, then*

$$\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Proof* By Theorem 4.2 we already know that  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is contained in  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and that their semi-inner products are the same in the subspace  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a complete subspace of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . So, if we assume that  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  were not the whole space  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then there would be an element  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  which is orthogonal to the native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Let  $Q = \dim \pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{q_1, \dots, q_Q\}$  be a Lagrange basis of  $\pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with respect to a  $\pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -unisolvent subset  $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_Q\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . We make the special choice of the data sites  $\{-\mathbf{x}, -\xi_1, \dots, -\xi_Q\}$  and scalars  $\{1, -q_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, -q_Q(\mathbf{x})\}$  and correspondingly define

$$f_\lambda := G(\cdot + \mathbf{x}) - \sum_{k=1}^Q q_k(\mathbf{x}) G(\cdot + \xi_k).$$

Since  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  has the  $\mathcal{S}$ -dense property we can use Lemma 4.3 to represent any  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the form

$$f(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^Q q_k(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{w} + \xi_k) + (f_\lambda(\mathbf{w} - \cdot), f)_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Since  $G$  is even, we have  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto f_\lambda(-\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We now set  $\mathbf{w} = 0$ . The fact that  $f$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  gives us

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^Q q_k(\mathbf{x})f(\xi_k) + (f_\lambda(\cdot), f)_{\mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \sum_{k=1}^Q f(\xi_k)q_k(\mathbf{x}).$$

This shows that  $f \in \pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and it contradicts our first assumption. It follows that  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5** *Let  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2. Then*

$$\mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Proof* We fix any  $f \in \mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and suppose that  $\hat{f}$  and  $\widehat{P_j f}$ , respectively, are the  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transforms of  $f$  and  $P_j f$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Using the Plancherel theorem [18] we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\hat{p}_j \hat{f})(\mathbf{x}) \overline{(\hat{p}_j \hat{f})(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{P_j f}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\widehat{P_j f}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_j f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{P_j f(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} < \infty.$$

And therefore, with the help of the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2}{\hat{\mathbf{g}}_m(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} &= (2\pi)^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \hat{l}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &= (2\pi)^{d/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{p}_j(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_m^{-1/2} \hat{f} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_m$  is the generalized Fourier transform of  $G$ . And now, according to Theorem 3.1,  $f \in \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

This says that  $\mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  can be isometrically embedded into  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover, according to Lemma 4.5 we can immediately obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.6** *Let  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2. If  $\mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $G$  is positive definite and*

$$\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

(It also indicates that  $m = 0$ .)

*Proof* Since  $G \in \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , its generalized Fourier transform of any order is equal to its  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform which implies that  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_m \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . So  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^{-1} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(1)$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ . According to Theorem 4.2,  $G$  is a positive definite function.

We fix any  $f \in \mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . According to the proof of Lemma 4.5, we have its distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{f} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{H}_P(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{P_j f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{p}_j(\mathbf{x}) \hat{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 |\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

This means in particular that  $\hat{f} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  because

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 |\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^{-2} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, the inverse  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform of  $\hat{f}$  is equal to the inverse  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Fourier transform of  $\hat{f}$  which can be identified with  $f$ . This implies that  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . According to Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.5, we have  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.4* As Example 5.5 in Section 5.2 shows, the native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  will not always be equal to the corresponding generalized Sobolev space  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

## 5 Examples of Green Functions and their Related Generalized Sobolev Spaces

### 5.1 One-Dimensional Cases

*Example 5.1 (Cubic Splines)* Consider the (scalar) distributional operator  $\mathbf{P} := d^2/dx^2$  and  $L := \mathbf{P}^{*T}\mathbf{P} = d^4/dx^4$ . By integrating Equation (4.1) four times we can obtain a family of possible Green functions with respect to  $L$ , i.e.,

$$G(x) := \frac{|x|^3}{12} + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . However, we want the Green function to be an even function. Hence, we choose

$$G(x) := \frac{1}{12} |x|^3, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This ensures that  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(x)\|_2 = |x|^2$ . As a result, the associated interpolant is given by

$$s_{f,x}(x) := \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{c_j}{12} |x - x_j|^3 + \beta_2x + \beta_1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is the same as the cubic spline interpolant (see [2, Chapter 6.1.5]).

According to [21, Theorem 10.40], we can check that  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$  has the  $\mathcal{S}$ -dense property. Therefore, Theorem 4.4 tells us that  $\mathcal{N}_G^2(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$  and it follows that the cubic spline is the optimal interpolant for all functions in the generalized Sobolev space  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Example 5.2 (Tension Splines)* Let  $\sigma > 0$  be a tension parameter and consider the vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P} := (d^2/dx^2, \sigma d/dx)^T$  and  $L := \mathbf{P}^{*T}\mathbf{P} = d^4/dx^4 - \sigma^2 d^2/dx^2$ . Then

$$G(x) := -\frac{1}{2\sigma^3} (\exp(-\sigma|x|) + \sigma|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is a solution of Equation (4.1). We can verify that  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and that  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(x)\|_2 = (|x|^4 + \sigma^2|x|^2)^{1/2} = \mathcal{O}(|x|)$  as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ . So  $G$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order 1. This yields the same interpolant as the tension spline interpolant [4, 16].

According to the Sobolev inequality [1] and [21, Theorem 10.40],  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$  has the  $\mathcal{S}$ -dense property which implies that  $\mathcal{N}_G^1(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$ . Theorem 4.4 and [21, Theorem 13.2] provide us with the same optimality property as stated in [4, 16].



*Example 5.3 (Example 2.1)*

We use the theoretical results of Section 4.3 to verify the reproducing-kernel properties of Example 2.1. Here we only give details for the Sobolev spline kernel as the other kernel can be treated in the same way. Let  $\mathbf{P} := (d^2/dx^2, \sqrt{2}\sigma d/dx, \sigma^2 I)^T$  and  $L := \mathbf{P}^{*T} \mathbf{P} = (\sigma^2 I - d^2/dx^2)^2$ . It is known that the Green function with respect to  $L$  is the Matérn function

$$G(x) := \frac{1}{8\sigma^3} (1 + \sigma|x|) \exp(-\sigma|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(x)\|_2 = \sigma^2 + x^2 = \Theta(1)$  as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ , we can determine that  $G$  is positive definite. It is easy to check that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Since we have  $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq L_2(\mathbb{R})$ , we can use Theorem 4.6 to check that  $\mathcal{N}_G^0(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})$ . As discussed in Section 3.2, the reproducing kernel and its reproducing-kernel Hilbert space have the forms  $K(x, y) = G(x - y)$  and  $\mathbf{H}_K(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## 5.2 Two-Dimensional Cases

*Example 5.4 (Thin Plate Splines)* Let  $\mathbf{P} := (\partial^2/\partial x_1^2, \sqrt{2}\partial^2/\partial x_1\partial x_2, \partial^2/\partial x_2^2)^T$  so that  $L := \mathbf{P}^{*T} \mathbf{P} = \Delta^2$ . It is well-known that the fundamental solution of the Poisson equation on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is given by  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \log \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ , i.e.,  $\Delta \log \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = -2\pi\delta$ . Therefore Equation (4.1) is solved by

$$G(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{8\pi} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \log \|\mathbf{x}\|_2, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (5.1)$$

Since  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ ,  $G$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order 2 and its related interpolant has the form

$$s_{f,\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=1}^N c_j G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j) + \beta_3 x_2 + \beta_2 x_1 + \beta_1, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (5.2)$$

Moreover, according to [21, Theorem 10.40], we can verify that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  has the  $\mathcal{S}$ -dense property. Therefore,  $\mathcal{N}_G^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \equiv \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  by Theorem 4.4. Equation (5.2) is known as the *thin plate spline* interpolant (see [3, 6, 10]).

Finally, we consider the Duchon semi-norm mentioned in [6], i.e.,

$$|f|_{D_2}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1^2} \right|^2 + 2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^2 f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2^2} \right|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad f \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \mathcal{SI},$$

and the Duchon semi-norm space

$$\mathcal{H}_{D_2}(\mathbb{R}^2) := \left\{ f \in \text{Re}(L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap \mathcal{SI} : |f|_{D_2} < \infty \right\}.$$

If we define  $\mathbf{P}$  as above, then it is easy to check that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{D_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . According to [21, Theorems 13.1 and 13.2] we can conclude that the Duchon semi-norm space possesses the same optimality properties as those listed in [6].

The following example shows that the same Green function  $G$  can generate different generalized Sobolev spaces  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover, it illustrates the fact that the native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  may be a proper subspace of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Example 5.5 (Modified Thin Plate Splines)* Let  $\mathbf{P} := \Delta$  and  $L := \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{P} = \Delta^2$ . We find that the thin plate spline (5.1) is also the Green function with respect to the operator  $L$  defined here. The associated interpolant is again of the form (5.2).

We now consider the Laplacian semi-norm

$$|f|_{\Delta}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad f \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \mathcal{SI},$$

and the Laplacian semi-norm space

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^2) := \{f \in \text{Re}(L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap \mathcal{SI} : |f|_{\Delta} < \infty\}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . However, it is known that  $\mathcal{H}_{D_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a proper subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  since  $q \in \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  but  $q \notin \mathcal{H}_{D_2}$  where  $q(\mathbf{x}) := x_1 x_2$ . Therefore, due to Example 5.4, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{N}_G^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{D_2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(\mathbb{R}^2) \equiv \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Instead of working with the polynomial space  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  which is used to define  $\mathcal{N}_G^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we can construct a new native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $G$  by using another finite-dimensional space  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\text{Re}(\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_G^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  may be equal to the other subspace of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . First we can verify that the finite-dimensional space  $\mathcal{P} := \text{span}\{\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cup \{q\}\}$  is a subspace of the null space of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset \mathcal{P}$  and  $G$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order 2, we know that  $G$  is also conditionally positive definite with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Hence, the new native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with respect to  $G$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is well-defined (see [21, Chapter 10.3]). We can further check that  $\mathcal{N}_G^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  but it is larger than  $\mathcal{N}_G^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{N}_G^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_G^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subseteq \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

So we can obtain a modification of the thin plate spline interpolant based on  $\mathcal{P}$ :

$$s_{f,\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=1}^N c_j G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j) + \beta_4 x_1 x_2 + \beta_3 x_2 + \beta_2 x_1 + \beta_1, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

**Conjecture 5.1** *Motivated by Example 5.5 we audaciously guess the following extension of the theorems in Section 4.3: Let  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2. If the subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  of the null space of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a finite-dimensional subspace and  $\pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , then the new native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with respect to  $G$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

### 5.3 d-Dimensional Cases

*Example 5.6 (Polyharmonic Splines)* This is a generalization of the earlier Examples 5.1 and 5.4. Let  $\mathbf{P} := (\partial^m / \partial x_1^m, \dots, (m!/\alpha!)^{1/2} D^{\alpha}, \dots, \partial^m / \partial x_d^m)^T$  consisting of all  $(m!/\alpha!)^{1/2} D^{\alpha}$  with  $|\alpha| = m > d/2$ . We further denote  $L := \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{P} = (-1)^m \Delta^m$ . Then the polyharmonic spline on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is the solution of Equation (4.1) (see [2, Chapter 6.1.5]), i.e.,

$$G(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(d/2-m)}{2^{2m} \pi^{d/2} (m-1)!} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{2m-d} & \text{for } d \text{ odd,} \\ \frac{(-1)^{m+d/2-1}}{2^{2m-1} \pi^{d/2} (m-1)! (m-d/2)!} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{2m-d} \log \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 & \text{for } d \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

We can also check that  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and that  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^m$ . Therefore  $G$  is a conditionally positive definite function of order  $m$ . Furthermore,

according to [21, Theorem 10.40], we can verify that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  has the  $\mathcal{S}$ -dense property. Therefore,  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by Theorem 4.4.

We now consider the Beppo-Levi space of order  $m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e.,

$$BL_m(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in \text{Re}(L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) : D^\alpha f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for all } |\alpha| = m\}$$

equipped with the semi-inner product

$$(f, g)_{BL_m(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^\alpha f(x) \overline{D^\alpha g(x)} dx, \quad f, g \in BL_m(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

According to [11], we know that  $BL_m(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \text{Re}(L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  whenever  $m > d/2$ . Hence  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv BL_m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

By the way, it is well-known that  $G$  is also conditionally positive definite of order  $l := m - \lceil d/2 \rceil + 1$  (see [21, Corollary 8.8]). However, the native space  $\mathcal{N}_G^l(\mathbb{R}^d)$  induced by  $G$  and  $\pi_{l-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a proper subspace of  $\mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  when  $d > 1$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{N}_G^l(\mathbb{R}^d) \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_G^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv BL_m(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad d > 1.$$

*Remark 5.1* If we have a vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P} := (P_1, \dots, P_n)^T$  whose distributional Fourier transform satisfies  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 \in \pi_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$\{a_\alpha D^\alpha : |\alpha| = m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\} \subseteq \{P_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}, \quad \text{where } a_\alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } m > d/2,$$

then  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq BL_m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . According to the Sobolev inequality [1], there is a positive constant  $C$  such that  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{BL_m(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2$  for each  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This implies that this generalized Sobolev space  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  also has the  $\mathcal{S}$ -dense property.

*Example 5.7 (Sobolev Splines, [22, Example 3])* This is a generalization of Example 5.3. Let  $\mathbf{P} := (\mathbf{Q}_0^T, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_n^T)^T$ , where

$$\mathbf{Q}_j := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n! \sigma^{2n-2j}}{j!(n-j)!}\right)^{1/2} \Delta^k & \text{when } j = 2k, \\ \left(\frac{n! \sigma^{2n-2j}}{j!(n-j)!}\right)^{1/2} \Delta^k \nabla & \text{when } j = 2k + 1, \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, j = 0, 1, \dots, n, n > d/2.$$

Here we use  $\Delta^0 := I$ . We further define  $L := \mathbf{P}^{*T} \mathbf{P} = (\sigma^2 I - \Delta)^n$ .

The *Sobolev spline* (or Matérn function) is known to be the Green function with respect to  $L$  (see [2, Chapter 6.1.6] and [7, Chapter 13.2]), i.e.,

$$G(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{2^{1-n-d/2}}{\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(n) \sigma^{2n-d}} (\sigma \|\mathbf{x}\|_2)^{n-d/2} K_{d/2-n}(\sigma \|\mathbf{x}\|_2), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $z \mapsto K_\nu(z)$  is the *modified Bessel function of the second kind of order  $\nu$* . Since  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $G$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and  $\|\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(1)$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $G$  is positive definite and the associated interpolant  $s_{f,X}$  is the same as the Sobolev spline (or Matérn) interpolant.

Combining [22, Example 3] and Theorem 4.6, we can determine that

$$\mathcal{N}_G^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cong \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Moreover, this shows that the classical Sobolev space  $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  becomes a reproducing-kernel Hilbert space with  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -inner product and its reproducing kernel is given by  $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ .

In the following example we are not able to establish that the operator  $\mathbf{P}$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.2 and so part of the connection to the theory developed in this paper is lost. We therefore use the symbol  $\Phi$  to denote the kernel instead of  $G$ .

*Example 5.8 (Gaussians, [22, Example 4])* The Gaussian kernel  $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$  derived by the Gaussian function  $\Phi$  is very important and popular in the current research fields of scattered data approximation and machine learning. Therefore knowledge of the native space of the Gaussian function or the reproducing-kernel Hilbert space of the Gaussian kernel is of significant interest. In this example we will show that the native space of the Gaussian function is isometrically equivalent to a generalized Sobolev space.

We firstly consider the *Gaussian* function

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\sigma^d}{\pi^{d/2}} \exp(-\sigma^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

We know that  $\Phi$  is a positive definite function and its native space  $\mathcal{N}_\Phi^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (see [7, Chapter 4]).

Let  $\mathbf{P} := (\mathbf{Q}_0^T, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_n^T, \dots)^T$ , where

$$\mathbf{Q}_n := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{n!4^n\sigma^{2n}}\right)^{1/2} \Delta^k & \text{when } n = 2k, \\ \left(\frac{1}{n!4^n\sigma^{2n}}\right)^{1/2} \Delta^k \nabla & \text{when } n = 2k + 1, \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Here we again use  $\Delta^0 := I$ . Since the differential operators are just special cases of distributional operators, the generalized Sobolev space  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by  $\mathbf{P}$  is the same as that derived in [22, Example 4]. Therefore we can combine Theorem 4.2, 4.6 and the techniques of the proof for [22, Example 4] to obtain that

$$\mathcal{N}_\Phi^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Moreover, it is easy to verify that  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . According to the Sobolev embedding theorem [1], we also have  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \text{Re}(\mathbf{C}_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . However,  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  does not contain polynomials. If  $f \in \text{Re}(\mathbf{C}_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and there is a positive constant  $C$  such that  $\|D^\alpha f\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C^{|\alpha|}$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , then  $f \in \mathbf{H}_\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  which implies that  $f \in \mathcal{N}_\Phi^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

If we replace the test functions space to be  $\mathcal{D}$ , then we can further think of the Gaussian function  $\Phi$  is a (full-space) Green function of  $L := \exp(-\frac{1}{4\sigma^2}\Delta)$ , i.e.,  $L\Phi = \delta_0$  and  $\Phi, \delta_0 \in \mathcal{D}'$ , where  $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and its dual space  $\mathcal{D}'$  are defined in [1, Chapter 1.5].

## 6 Extensions and Future Works

In this paper we have presented a unified theory for the generation of conditionally positive definite functions of order  $m$  as (full-space) Green functions with respect to a distributional operator  $L := \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{T} \mathbf{P}$  with an appropriate vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P}$ . These even Green functions  $G \in \text{Re}(\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{SI}$  can be used as basic functions of a translation invariant meshfree kernel-based approximation method of the form (2.1)-(2.2). Our analysis is limited to this translation invariant setting which does not address the fully general situation with kernels of the form  $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ , but is more general than the radial setting.

In Section 5 we were able to show that many different types of “splines” and radial basis functions can be treated with our Green function framework. Thus, reproducing kernel Hilbert space methods can be viewed as a natural generalization of univariate splines

(including such variations as tension splines). Other forms of univariate splines such as smoothing splines or regression splines can be covered using a related least squares framework, and multivariate generalizations of these methods are widely used in statistics and machine learning.

We only consider real-valued functions as candidates for the generalized Sobolev spaces and Green functions in this paper, but all the conclusions and the theorems can be extended to complex-valued functions in a way similarly to [21].  $H_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  may not be complete even though we extend it to complex-valued functions. However, its completion is isometrically embedded into the tempered distribution space  $\mathcal{S}'$  and has the explicit form

$$\overline{H_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \equiv \{T \in \mathcal{S}' : P_j T \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d), j = 1, \dots, n\}, \quad \text{if } \mathbf{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_n)^T.$$

The vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P}$  can be further constructed by *pseudo-differential operators*. Therefore their generalized Sobolev spaces  $H_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are isometrically equivalent to the Beppo-Levi type spaces  $X_{\tau}^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The paper [3] shows that the radial basis function under tension may be associated to a pseudo-differential operator in a Beppo-Levi space type. For example, if  $\mathbf{P} := (\omega_{\tau} \mathcal{F} \partial^m / \partial x_1^m, \dots, (m!/\alpha!)^{1/2} \omega_{\tau} \mathcal{F} D^{\alpha}, \dots, \omega_{\tau} \mathcal{F} \partial^m / \partial x_d^m)^T$ , then

$$H_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv X_{\tau}^m(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in \text{Re}(L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{S}I : \omega_{\tau} \widehat{D^{\alpha} f} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d), |\alpha| = m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\},$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a distributional Fourier transform map and  $\omega_{\tau}(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{\tau}$ ,  $0 \leq \tau < 1$ . However,  $\mathbf{P}$  may not satisfy the condition of Theorem 4.2. We have reserved these situations for our future research.

Unfortunately, it is sometimes difficult for us to solve a Green function matching the conditions of Theorem 4.2 even if the vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P}$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.2. However, there is usually an even Green function  $G \in \text{Re}(C(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})) \cap \mathcal{S}I$ . This means that the Green function merely has a *singular point* at the origin. According to our numerical tests of some cases, we find that this kind of Green function can still play the role of a basic function for the construction of a multivariate interpolant  $s_{f,X}$  via (2.1)-(2.2) after some techniques to remove the singularity. One of the numerical tests is a two-dimensional example as below. Let  $\mathbf{P} := (\Delta, \sigma \nabla^T)^T$  with  $\sigma > 0$  and the Green function with respect to  $L := \mathbf{P}^* \mathbf{P} = \Delta^2 - \sigma^2 \Delta$  be given by

$$G(\mathbf{x}) := -\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} (K_0(\sigma \|\mathbf{x}\|_2) + \log(\sigma \|\mathbf{x}\|_2)), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $z \mapsto K_{\nu}(z)$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order  $\nu$ . We can use a transformation to remove the singularity of  $G$  as follows:

$$G_r(\mathbf{x}) := -\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} (K_0(\sigma \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 + r) + \log(\sigma \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 + r)), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2, r > 0.$$

We guess that the interpolant via this modified Green function may be used to approximate functions belonging to the related generalized Sobolev space.

We merely consider the Lebesgue measure here. However, we can further generalize our results to other measure spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_{\Omega}, \mu)$ , where  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{\Omega}$  is the Borel set of  $\Omega$ . We suppose that the bijective map

$$\mathcal{A} : (\Omega, \mathcal{B}_{\Omega}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d})$$

is differentiable at every point of  $\Omega$  such that

$$d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = |\det(J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}))| d\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{where } J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is the Jacobian matrix of } \mathcal{A} \text{ at } \mathbf{x}.$$

According to the Radon-Nikodym Theorem [2] it is not difficult to gain similar conclusions when we transform the generalized Sobolev space to be

$$H_{\mathbf{P}}^{\mu}(\Omega) := \{f_{\mathcal{A}} := f \circ \mathcal{A} : f \in H_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^d)\},$$

with the semi-inner product

$$(f_{\mathcal{A}}, g_{\mathcal{A}})_{H_{\mathbf{P}}^{\mu}(\Omega)} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} P_n f(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x})) \overline{P_n g(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}))} d\mu(\mathbf{x}), \quad f_{\mathcal{A}}, g_{\mathcal{A}} \in H_{\mathbf{P}}^{\mu}(\Omega).$$

Finally, we do not specify any boundary conditions for the (full-space) Green functions. Thus we may have many choices of the Green functions with respect to the same distributional operator  $L$ . In our future work we will apply a vector distributional operator  $\mathbf{P} := (P_1, \dots, P_{n_p})^T$  and a vector boundary operator  $\mathbf{B} := (B_1, \dots, B_{n_b})^T$  on a bounded domain  $\Omega$  to construct a reproducing kernel and its related reproducing-kernel Hilbert space (see [8]). We further hope to use the distributional operator  $L$  to approximate the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the kernel function with the goal of obtaining fast numerical methods to solve the interpolating systems (2.1)-(2.2) similar as fast multipole methods in [21, Chapter 15].

## References

1. R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces* (2nd Ed.), Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 140, Academic Press, 2003.
2. A. Berline and C. Thomas-Agnan, *Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces in Probability and Statistics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
3. A. Bouhamidi, *Pseudo-differential operator associated to the radial basis functions under tension*, ESAIM: Proceedings 20 (2007), 72–82.
4. A. Bouhamidi and A. Le Méhauté, *Radial basis functions under tension*, J. of Appr. Theory 127 (2004), 135–154.
5. M. D. Buhmann, *Radial Basis Functions: Theory and Implementations*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge), 2003.
6. J. Duchon, *Splines minimizing rotation-invariant semi-norms in Sobolev spaces*, in *Constructive Theory of Functions of Several Variables*, W. Schempp and K. Zeller (Eds.), Springer-Verlag (Berlin), 1977, 85–100.
7. G. E. Fasshauer, *Meshfree Approximation Methods with MATLAB*, Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 6, World Scientific Publishers (Singapore), 2007.
8. G. E. Fasshauer and Q. Ye, *Reproducing kernels of Sobolev spaces via a green function approach with differential operators and boundary operators*, Adv. in Comput. Math., 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s10444-011-9264-6.
9. L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, 2004.
10. J. Kybic, T. Blu and M. Unser, *Generalized sampling: A variational approach - Part I and II: Theory*, IEEE Trans. Signal Proc. 50/8 (2002), 1965–1985.
11. W. A. Light and H. Wayne, *Spaces of distributions, interpolation by translates of a basis function and error estimates*, Numer. Math. 81 (1999), 415–450.
12. W. R. Madych and S. A. Nelson, *Multivariate interpolation and conditionally positive definite functions II*, Math. Comp. 54 (1990), 211–230.
13. R. Schaback, *Spectrally Optimized Derivative Formulae*, Data Page of R. Schaback's Research Group, 2008.
14. R. Schaback and H. Wendland, *Kernel techniques: From machine learning to meshless methods*, Acta Numerica 15 (2006), 543–639.
15. B. Schölkopf and A. J. Smola, *Learning with Kernels: Support Vector Machines, Regularization, Optimization, and Beyond*, MIT Press (Cambridge, MA), 2002.
16. D. G. Schweikert, *An interpolation curve using a spline in tension*, J. Math. Phys. 45 (1966), 312–317.

17. A. J. Smola, B. Schölkopf and K. R. Müller, *The connection between regularization operators and support vector kernels*, Neural Networks 11 (1998), 637–649.
18. E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1975.
19. M. L. Stein, *Interpolation of Spatial Data. Some Theory for Kriging*, Springer Series in Statistics, Springer-Verlag (New York), 1999.
20. G. Wahba, *Spline Models for Observational Data*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics 59, SIAM (Philadelphia), 1990.
21. H. Wendland, *Scattered Data Approximation*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
22. Q. Ye, *Reproducing kernels of generalized Sobolev spaces via a green function approach with differential operators*, Technical Report of Illinois Institute of Technology, 2010, available at [http://mypages.iit.edu/~qye3/ReproducingKernel\\_GreenFun\\_DiffOpt.pdf](http://mypages.iit.edu/~qye3/ReproducingKernel_GreenFun_DiffOpt.pdf).
23. A. L. Yuille and N. M. Grzywacz, *The motion coherence theory*, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision*, IEEE Computer Society (1988), 344–353.